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Overview of Session

1. Data-Based Decisions
   – Benchmarking vs. progress monitoring
   – Robust indicators vs. curriculum sampling
   – Standard decision rules
   – Instructional planning

2. Common Web-Based Applications in Reading and Mathematics
   – AIMSweb
   – Dynamic Indicators of Basic Early Literacy Skills (DIBELS)
   – Edcheckup
   – Yearly Progress Pro

3. Generally Effective Reading Instruction
   – Instructional procedures
   – Application: Case study

4. Generally Effective Mathematics Instruction
   – Instructional procedures
Portions of this presentation were taken from the 2005 Summer Institute--*Advanced Applications of CBM in Reading: Instructional Decisionmaking Strategies* by Pamela M. Stecker and Erica S. Lembke
Part 1: Data-Based Decisions
Benchmarking may involve classwide/schoolwide screening

- Used to identify students at risk who may need additional/different instruction
- Samples skills critical toward attaining benchmark goal or measure is predictive of attaining benchmark goal
- Usually conducted several times per year
Data-Based Decision Making: Progress Monitoring

- Progress Monitoring involves individual or classwide/schoolwide assessment:
  - Used to demonstrate student/class rate of improvement in the curriculum and to identify students whose growth is inadequate
  - Aids teachers in determining when instructional modifications may be necessary
  - Samples skills in the year-long curriculum OR encompasses global behavior that predicts proficiency in the curriculum
  - Administration schedule may vary by student/class, depending on perceived need—from twice weekly to once monthly
Why Is Progress Monitoring Important?

Research has demonstrated that when teachers use progress monitoring for instructional decision-making purposes:

- students achieve more
- teacher decision making improves
- students tend to be more aware of their performance

(e.g., see Fuchs, Deno, Mirkin, 1984; L. S. Fuchs, Fuchs, Hamlett, & Ferguson, 1992; L. S. Fuchs, Fuchs, Hamlett, & Stecker, 1991; Stecker, Fuchs, & Fuchs, 2005)
Benefits of Conducting Progress Monitoring

- Student performance data on important, grade-level skills/content can be gathered quickly and easily.
- Student progress can be analyzed in order to modify instructional programs when needed and/or adjust student goals upward.
- Individual student data can be compared to data of other students in the classroom, in the child’s school, or in the school district.
Curriculum-Based Measurement: A Specific Form of Progress Monitoring

- CBM is a scientifically validated form of student progress monitoring that incorporates standard methods for test development, administration, scoring, and data utilization.
- CBM enjoys nearly 30 years of research to support its effectiveness.
- Several computerized or Web-based versions of progress monitoring are based on principles of CBM.
Decision Making

- Depending on frequency of data collection, student progress may be evaluated as early as following several weeks of instruction but may occur following one or two months of instruction.
- Standard decision rules help teachers determine when instructional changes may be necessary.
- Individual progress monitoring programs may incorporate their own specific decision-making framework.
Standard Decision Rules

- Draw trend line of student progress (e.g., Tukey method) for 7-8 data points and compare to the student’s goal line
  - Trend is not as steep as the goal line, make a teaching change
  - Trend is steeper than the goal line, raise the goal

- May use “four-point rule” if at least three weeks of instruction have occurred and the last four scores collected all fall above or below the goal line
  - Four most recent scores all fall below the goal line, make a teaching change
  - Four most recent scores all fall above the goal line, raise the goal
Building Effective Programs

- Teachers use standard decision rules and/or program-embedded decision framework to determine when instruction needs to be altered (or goals raised)
- Some progress monitoring systems provide recommendations or analysis of skills, which may aid teachers in designing modifications
- In general, teachers should use research-validated practices to design the nature of their instructional programs
Sample Curriculum-Based Measurement Graph

Reading Graph for Zeke

- Baseline
- Guided-reading
- Guided-reading + decoding practice
- Guided-reading + decoding practice + comprehension

Date


Goal Line
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Instructional Strategies (Procedures and Skills)</th>
<th>Size of Group (#Teachers to #Students)</th>
<th>Allocated Instructional Time and Frequency</th>
<th>Instructional Resources (Level, Curriculum, Materials)</th>
<th>Reinforcement Strategies (optional)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 1</td>
<td>Guided reading instruction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 5</td>
<td>Guided reading plus decoding practice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 16</td>
<td>Guided reading and decoding practice plus comprehension strategies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Documentation for Instructional Planning
Planning Interventions

- Most important aspect of progress monitoring: USE THE DATA!!!

- The following instructional elements may be altered to enhance student performance:
  - Instructional strategies
  - Size of instructional group
  - Time allocated for instruction
  - Materials used
  - Reinforcement
What Is the Status of Progress Monitoring in Your School?

- What efforts have you already made toward implementation of progress monitoring?
- What are your goals for implementation for next year?
- What are your goals for implementation 3 years from now?
- Considerations:
  - Time
  - Money
  - Technology
  - Training

ACTION PLAN
Part 2: Common Web-Based Applications in Reading and Mathematics
Two Main Approaches for Sampling Student Performance

- Robust indicators--global measures that indicate overall proficiency in the academic area (e.g., oral reading fluency, maze fluency, basic math facts)
- Curriculum sampling--mixed set of items that represent systematic sampling of skills from the annual curriculum (e.g., mixed set of problems in mathematics)

(see Fuchs, 2004 for a description)
AI MSweb

- http://www.aimsweb.com
AIMSweb CBM Measures

- Reading-CBM (Oral Reading Fluency) English and Spanish
- Maze-CBM (Reading Comprehension)
- Early Literacy Measures
- MIDE (Spanish Early Literacy)
- Early Numeracy-CBM
- Mathematics-CBM
- Spelling-CBM
- Written Expression-CBM
DIBELS™ Compatible

- AIMSweb fully supports charting and reporting of all DIBELS brand assessments
- Customers may use DIBELS assessments, AIMSweb assessments, or any combination of both
3-Tier Progress Monitoring and Response-to-Intervention System

TIER 1
BENCHMARK
Assess all students three times per year for early identification and accountability

TIER 2
STRATEGIC MONITOR
Assess at-risk students monthly and monitor the effectiveness of instructional changes

TIER 3
PROGRESS MONITOR
Write IEP goals and monitor progress frequently for those students in need of intensive instructional services

RESPONSE TO INTERVENTION
Organize and evaluate the process of RTI; a data-driven model for determining special services eligibility
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Tier 1 Benchmark Features

- **Organizes** Curriculum-Based Measurement (CBM) and DIBELS™ Data for Benchmark Assessment Fall, Winter, and Spring
- **Prepares** Reports for Teachers, Principals, and Administrators on Individual Students, Classes, Grades, Schools, and School Districts
- **Identifies** At Risk Students Early
- **Objectively Determines** Rates of Progress for Individual Students, Schools, and NCLB Risk Groups
- **Allows Evaluation** at Multiple Levels of Comparison Groups
- **Prints** Professional Reports for Parent Conferences and Other Meetings
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Tier 1 Benchmark
Individual Student Report: Spring

Documents what worked for at-risk students
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**Tier 1 Benchmark**

Class Report: Rank by Score and Percentile

- Rank orders students by performance
- Color-codes individual educational needs
- Provides instructional decisions to consider

---

**Class Distribution by Scores and Percentile**

Red River Valley District - Jefferson Elementary
Grade 3 (Diana Hambly) Winter 2003-2004
Reading - Curriculum Based Measurement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Corrects</th>
<th>Errors</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Performance Summary</th>
<th>Potential Instructional Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stahmecher, Niels</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>99.9%</td>
<td>Well Above Average</td>
<td>Consider Need for Individualized Instruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hutton, Greg</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>Well Above Average</td>
<td>Consider Need for Individualized Instruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frier, Zyden</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>99.4%</td>
<td>Well Above Average</td>
<td>Consider Need for Individualized Instruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gohman, Karina</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>99.4%</td>
<td>Well Above Average</td>
<td>Consider Need for Individualized Instruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darlow, Lindsey</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>Well Above Average</td>
<td>Consider Need for Individualized Instruction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Well Above Average >= 165 (95th tile)**

| Stanton, Zachary| 151      | 3      | 99.2%    | Above Average       | Consider Need for Individualized Instruction |
| Balla, Haley    | 151      | 3      | 99.2%    | Above Average       | Consider Need for Individualized Instruction |
| Clark, Tyler    | 140      | 4      | 97.2%    | Above Average       | Consider Need for Individualized Instruction |

**Above Average >= 140 (75th tile)**

| Conraiker, Ryan | 125      | 1      | 98.2%    | Average             | Continue Current Program          |
| Clits, Joeys    | 122      | 0      | 100.0%   | Average             | Continue Current Program          |
| Jensen, Kevin   | 113      | 1      | 99.1%    | Average             | Continue Current Program          |
| Cantar, Ellen   | 110      | 1      | 99.1%    | Average             | Continue Current Program          |
| Know, Sandra    | 110      | 0      | 100.0%   | Average             | Provisional Program               |
| Williams, Jessica| 106     | 4      | 96.1%    | Average             | Continue Current Program          |

Powered by Edformation

---

25
Identifies at-risk students in the school by name, teacher, assessment, and benchmark period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student</th>
<th>Teacher</th>
<th>R. CBM Fall</th>
<th>SC</th>
<th>R. CBM Winter</th>
<th>SC</th>
<th>R. CBM Spring</th>
<th>SC</th>
<th>Math Level Fall</th>
<th>SC</th>
<th>Math Level Winter</th>
<th>SC</th>
<th>Math Level Spring</th>
<th>SC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Andrews, G.</td>
<td>I. Greathing</td>
<td>139.0 R</td>
<td>169.0 R</td>
<td>179.0 R</td>
<td>13.0 R</td>
<td>18.0 R</td>
<td>24.0 R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alabdy, B.</td>
<td>M. Rogers</td>
<td>05.0 T</td>
<td>80.0 T</td>
<td>98.0 T</td>
<td>8.00 T</td>
<td>8.00 T</td>
<td>4.00 T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allender, G.</td>
<td>I. Greathing</td>
<td>80.0 R</td>
<td>107.0 R</td>
<td>120.0 R</td>
<td>13.0 R</td>
<td>14.0 R</td>
<td>14.0 R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atwood, N.</td>
<td>A. Jones</td>
<td>71.0 R</td>
<td>67.0 R</td>
<td>10.0 R</td>
<td>8.00 R</td>
<td>13.0 R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baker, S.</td>
<td>A. Jones</td>
<td>78.0 R</td>
<td>99.0 R</td>
<td>117.0 R</td>
<td>10.0 R</td>
<td>13.0 R</td>
<td>22.0 R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barton, J.</td>
<td>I. Greathing</td>
<td>123.0 R</td>
<td>152.0 R</td>
<td>165.0 R</td>
<td>15.0 R</td>
<td>23.0 R</td>
<td>26.0 R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bashor, U.</td>
<td>M. Rogers</td>
<td>61.0 T</td>
<td>86.0 R</td>
<td>105.0 R</td>
<td>13.0 R</td>
<td>14.0 R</td>
<td>22.0 R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bergeson, V.</td>
<td>G. Johnson</td>
<td>50.0 T</td>
<td>62.0 T</td>
<td>95.0 T</td>
<td>8.00 R</td>
<td>4.00 R</td>
<td>11.0 R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best, P.</td>
<td>G. Johnson</td>
<td>36.0 T</td>
<td>57.0 R</td>
<td>67.0 S</td>
<td>6.06 T</td>
<td>5.06 T</td>
<td>6.06 T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birch, A.</td>
<td>G. Johnson</td>
<td>64.0 R</td>
<td>88.0 R</td>
<td>110.0 R</td>
<td>7.00 T</td>
<td>6.00 T</td>
<td>16.0 T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birenholz, U.</td>
<td>G. Johnson</td>
<td>46.0 G</td>
<td>68.0 G</td>
<td>55.0 G</td>
<td>2.00 R</td>
<td>2.00 G</td>
<td>6.00 G</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bivins, C.</td>
<td>A. Jones</td>
<td>92.0 R</td>
<td>136.0 R</td>
<td>136.0 R</td>
<td>5.06 R</td>
<td>12.0 T</td>
<td>13.0 T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brinn, U.</td>
<td>G. Johnson</td>
<td>49.0 T</td>
<td>91.0 T</td>
<td>95.0 T</td>
<td>10.0 T</td>
<td>6.0 R</td>
<td>12.0 R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brin, C.</td>
<td>M. Rogers</td>
<td>54.0 R</td>
<td>87.0 R</td>
<td>99.0 R</td>
<td>10.0 R</td>
<td>13.0 R</td>
<td>19.0 R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bush, R.</td>
<td>G. Johnson</td>
<td>83.0 R</td>
<td>121.0 R</td>
<td>145.0 R</td>
<td>9.00 R</td>
<td>12.0 R</td>
<td>12.0 R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burton, J.</td>
<td>G. Johnson</td>
<td>43.0 T</td>
<td>59.0 T</td>
<td>75.0 T</td>
<td>3.00 T</td>
<td>6.0 R</td>
<td>7.0 R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpenter, A.</td>
<td>A. Jones</td>
<td>53.0 R</td>
<td>71.0 R</td>
<td>87.0 T</td>
<td>13.0 R</td>
<td>12.0 R</td>
<td>16.0 R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cummings, L.</td>
<td>I. Greathing</td>
<td>93.0 R</td>
<td>10.0 R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dart, P.</td>
<td>A. Jones</td>
<td>63.0 R</td>
<td>93.0 R</td>
<td>108.0 R</td>
<td>12.0 R</td>
<td>11.0 R</td>
<td>11.0 R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delong, B.</td>
<td>G. Johnson</td>
<td>145.0 R</td>
<td>148.0 R</td>
<td>174.0 R</td>
<td>12.0 R</td>
<td>15.0 R</td>
<td>26.0 R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denn, T.</td>
<td>A. Jones</td>
<td>12.0 S</td>
<td>13.0 S</td>
<td>21.0 S</td>
<td>2.00 R</td>
<td>4.0 S</td>
<td>6.0 S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dobbs, O.</td>
<td>I. Greathing</td>
<td>20.0 R</td>
<td>24.0 R</td>
<td>5.00 S</td>
<td>3.00 R</td>
<td>3.00 S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eckel, J.</td>
<td>M. Rogers</td>
<td>60.0 R</td>
<td>88.0 R</td>
<td>119.0 R</td>
<td>10.0 R</td>
<td>19.0 R</td>
<td>26.0 R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farn, B.</td>
<td>M. Rogers</td>
<td>119.0 R</td>
<td>138.0 R</td>
<td>9.00 R</td>
<td>13.0 R</td>
<td>12.0 R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furse, O.</td>
<td>M. Rogers</td>
<td>56.0 R</td>
<td>79.0 R</td>
<td>87.0 T</td>
<td>7.00 T</td>
<td>13.0 T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuller, C.</td>
<td>G. Johnson</td>
<td>25.0 T</td>
<td>16.0 T</td>
<td>27.0 T</td>
<td>3.00 T</td>
<td>3.00 T</td>
<td>4.0 T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Tier 1 Benchmark
Building Report - Above and Below Target

Evaluates improvement of students relative to specified achievement targets
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Tier 1 Benchmark
District Report - Compare Schools

Allows comparison of scores by school
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Tier 2 Strategic Monitor
Features

- **Monthly assessments** to allow more frequent evaluation
- **Verifies achievement** levels
- **Identification** of all students requiring intensive progress monitoring is ensured
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Tier 2 Strategic Monitor
Individual Student Report

Teacher: Diane Hambly
Student: Philip Hugill
Benchmark Scores for 2003-2004 School Year

Red River Valley District - Jefferson Elementary
Hugill, Philip (Grade 3)
Jefferson Elementary
Reading - Curriculum Based Measurement

This chart shows that Philip Hugill improved from 12 Words Read Correct (WRC) from grade 3 Passages at the September Benchmark to 30 Words Read Correct (WRC) at the May Benchmark. The rate of improvement (ROI) from the September Benchmark is 0.8 WRC per week. The score 36 is ranked at the 0 percentile.
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Tier 3 Progress Monitor Features

- **Frequently assess** students in need of intensive instructional services
- **Document** the effects of intervention
- **Print** professional reports for periodic and annual reviews
- **Translate annual IEP goals** into expected rates of progress (Aim lines) automatically
- **Monitor progress** (Trend lines) towards goals
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Tier 3 Progress Monitor
Case Manager Interface

Progress Monitoring Caseload

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Schedule</th>
<th>Last Score</th>
<th>Next Score</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Progress Report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boehne, Justin (3)</td>
<td>Select</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradly, Devyn (K)</td>
<td>R-CBM</td>
<td>06/09/2004 thru 08/31/2004 every week on Tue</td>
<td>83/2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Completed Grade 1 50 WRC Goal Achieved ✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halbert, Laura (3)</td>
<td>R-CBM</td>
<td>06/23/2004 thru 08/31/2004 every day</td>
<td>100/3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Completed Grade 3 100 WRC Goal Achieved ✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renelt, Ashley (4)</td>
<td>R-CBM</td>
<td>06/01/2004 thru 06/28/2004 every week on Mon</td>
<td>58/0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Completed Grade 4 128 WRC Goal Missed ❌</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice, Jarome (4)</td>
<td>Select</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Tier 3 Progress Monitor
Student Report 3

IEP revisions can be evaluated
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Tier 3 Progress Monitor
Student Report 4

Revise instruction as necessary
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- **Assess** skills directly, frequently, and continuously using CBM assessments

- **Progress Monitor** with AIMSweb to chart expected rates of progress and quickly compare to actual rates of progress

- **Plan, Intervene, and Document.** The RTI Interface pulls data together to provide clear evidence of a response to intervention or lack of response
### Response to Intervention (RTI): Case Manager Interface

#### AIMSWEB

**RTI School** Mark Shinn (RTI User)

**Year:** 2004-2005

#### Case Management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Students</th>
<th>Concern Area</th>
<th>Current Step</th>
<th>Progress</th>
<th>Report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Munster, Herman (4)</td>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>Initial Planning</td>
<td>Problem Identification Interview with Teacher</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student, A (1)</td>
<td>Early Lit.</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Complete Survey-Level Assessment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Godzicki, Jeremy (2)</td>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>Initial Planning</td>
<td>Enter Referral Information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glantz, Brady (2)</td>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>Initial Planning</td>
<td>Enter Referral Information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soren, Gerri (2)</td>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>Initial Planning</td>
<td>Enter Referral Information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robichaux, Todd (3)</td>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Complete Survey-Level Assessment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tjral, January (3)</td>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>Initial Planning</td>
<td>Review Records</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hicks, Dan (2)</td>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student, B (4)</td>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>Initial Planning</td>
<td>Enter Referral Information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse, Mike (2)</td>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse, Minnie (4)</td>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oll, Olivia (3)</td>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Complete Survey-Level Assessment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Today is:** 02/07/2005 Mon

Copyright © 1996-2005 Edformation, Inc. All Rights Reserved. Patent Pending

Powered by Edformation
Dynamic Indicators of Basic Early Literacy Skills (DIBELS)

http://dibels.uoregon.edu
# DIBELS Measures and Administration Schedule for Benchmarking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Kindergarten</th>
<th>First Grade</th>
<th>Second Grade</th>
<th>Third Grade</th>
<th>Fourth Grade</th>
<th>Fifth Grade</th>
<th>Sixth Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beg</td>
<td>Beg</td>
<td>Beg</td>
<td>Beg</td>
<td>Beg</td>
<td>Beg</td>
<td>Beg</td>
<td>Beg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid</td>
<td>Mid</td>
<td>Mid</td>
<td>Mid</td>
<td>Mid</td>
<td>Mid</td>
<td>Mid</td>
<td>Mid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End</td>
<td>End</td>
<td>End</td>
<td>End</td>
<td>End</td>
<td>End</td>
<td>End</td>
<td>End</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **DIBELS Oral Reading Fluency**
- **Nonsense Word Fluency**
- **Phoneme Segmentation Fluency**
- **Letter Naming Fluency**
- **Initial Sounds**
Materials Provided

- Materials can be printed for school-wide benchmarking (3 times per year) or for individual progress monitoring (weekly)
- For both benchmarking and progress monitoring, measures and directions are provided in easy-to-manage, folded booklets
Information Provided

- Provides comprehensive data management and reports for:
  - District-level
  - School-level
  - Grade-level
  - Class-level
  - Individual student level
Grade-Level Reports

Report Components

- Benchmark Goals—long-term performance goals. Represent *minimal* levels of satisfactory progress for the *lowest* achieving students.
  
  • Established, Emerging, or Deficit--if the benchmark goal is to be completed by the time the measure is administered
  
  • Low Risk, Some Risk, or At-Risk--if the benchmark goal is to be completed at some point in the future
Dynamic Indicators of Basic Early Literacy Skills
Kindergarten School Report

District: Test District
School: Adams
Date: January, 2001-2002

Nonsense Word Fluency

Benchmark Goal: The benchmark goal is for all children to have established alphabetic principle skills of 50 or more on Nonsense Word Fluency by the middle of First Grade.

January Status: In the middle of Kindergarten, students should be beginning to learn some letter-sound correspondences.

- \(63\% \ (n=56)\) Low Risk
  Children scoring 13 or more letter sounds per minute are likely to achieve the benchmark goal if provided with effective alphabetic principle instruction. For these students, progress toward benchmark goals should be checked at the end of Kindergarten to ensure adequate growth.

- \(27\% \ (n=24)\) Some Risk
  Children scoring between 5 and 12 letter sounds per minute in the middle of Kindergarten are at some risk for difficulty achieving the benchmark goal. Additional instructional support in alphabetic principle may be needed to achieve the middle-of-First Grade benchmark goal. Progress toward benchmark goals should be monitored monthly.

- \(10\% \ (n=9)\) At Risk
  Students scoring below 5 letter sounds per minute in the middle of Kindergarten are at risk for difficulty achieving the alphabetic principle. For students in this range, intensive intervention in alphabetic principle may be needed to achieve the benchmark goal. Progress toward benchmark goals should be monitored at least every 2 weeks.

Note: Split bars where the bottom part indicates "at risk" and the top part indicates "some risk" or where the bottom part indicates "some risk" and the top part indicates "low risk" are used when the cutoff scores for "at risk" or "some risk" occur in the middle of a score range. The number of students is indicated by the size of the bar.
Class Reports

- Scores—raw scores
- Percentiles—percent of students that scored the same as or lower than the student
- Status—refers to grade-level report
- Instructional recommendations
  - Benchmark (Tier I)—goal has been met or student is on track to meet subsequent goals; **no additional intervention** is recommended at this time
  - Strategic (Tier II)—no clear prediction regarding subsequent goals and **additional intervention** is recommended
  - Intensive (Tier III)—odds are against student achieving subsequent goals without **substantial intervention**
- Reports can be printed for one testing period (e.g., winter) or across the school year (fall, winter, spring)
## Dynamic Indicators of Basic Early Literacy Skills

### Kindergarten Class List Report

**District:** Test District  
**School:** Adams  
**Date:** January, 2001-2002  
**Class:** Adams K #2

Note: Scores provide an indication of performance only. If there is any concern about the accuracy of scores for an individual student, performance should be verified by retesting to validate need for support.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student</th>
<th>Initial Sound Fluency</th>
<th>Letter Naming Fluency</th>
<th>Phoneme Segmentation Fluency</th>
<th>Nonsense Word Fluency</th>
<th>Instructional Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Score</td>
<td>Percentile</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Score</td>
<td>Percentile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D, BRITTANY</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Emerging</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V, JOHNATHON</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Emerging</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B, MATTHEW</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Emerging</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V, SHANIA</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Emerging</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M, RACHEL</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Emerging</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B, SARAH</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Emerging</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E, SERENA</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Emerging</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G, BENJAMIN</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Emerging</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S, KYLE</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Emerging</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P, CHRYSALICE</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Emerging</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J, MADISON</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Emerging</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D, FAYTHE</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Established</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H, OLIVIA</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>Established</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S, KYLEY</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Established</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F, HARLEE</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Established</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H, KENDRA</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Established</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G, TEVIN</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Established</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S, BRIANA</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>Established</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C, ZACHARY</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>Established</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J, SARAH</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>Established</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E, MELISSA</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>Established</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W, LAURA</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>Established</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| 26.9 Mean | 34.8 Mean | 37.5 Mean | 24 Mean |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>September</th>
<th>January</th>
<th>May</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>LNF</strong></td>
<td>Goal: 37 letter names</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students Tested</td>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>37.2 (17.8)</td>
<td>49% Low risk</td>
<td>26% At risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PSF</strong></td>
<td>Goal: 35 phonemes</td>
<td>Goal: 35 phonemes</td>
<td>Goal: 35 phonemes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students Tested</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>40.7 (18.1)</td>
<td>52.5 (16.4)</td>
<td>54.5 (13.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Established</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deficit</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NWF</strong></td>
<td>Goal: 24 letter sounds</td>
<td>Goal: 50 letter sounds</td>
<td>Goal: 50 letter sounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students Tested</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>31.4 (25)</td>
<td>61.3 (33.4)</td>
<td>80.6 (34.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Risk</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some Risk</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At Risk</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ORF</strong></td>
<td>Goal: 20 words per minute</td>
<td>Goal: 40 words per minute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students Tested</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>82.4 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>37.7 (35.9)</td>
<td>62% Low Risk</td>
<td>19% Some Risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Risk</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some Risk</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At Risk</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Individual Student Reports

- Provides data on individual students
  - Across a school year
  - Across the students’ elementary career
- Data are provided for each reading skill and can be compared to benchmark goals
Dynamic Indicators of Basic Early Literary Skills
Progress Monitoring Graphs

Smith, Robert Page 1 of 1

Legend
- Benchmark Assessment
- Target Bar
- Target Goal
- Progress Monitoring Assessment
- Aimline
- Score Above Graph Bounds
- Score At or Above Aimline
- Score Below Aimline
- Consider Adjusting Intervention

Oral Reading Fluency (Grade-Level Passage)

Correct Words Per Minute

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Benchmark Scores</th>
<th>Progress Monitoring Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Week 1 23 27 38 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td></td>
<td>Week 2 27 38 46 59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td></td>
<td>Week 3 30 46 59 64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td></td>
<td>Week 4 25 22 30 46 59 65 64 82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Dynamic Indicators of Basic Early Literacy Skills
Progress Monitoring Graphs

Legend
- Benchmark Assessment
- Score Above Benchmark
- Target Bar
- Progress Monitoring Assessment
- Score At or Above Airline
- Target Goal
- Score Below Airline
- Consider Adjusting Intervention

Initial Sound Fluency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benchmark Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Progress Monitoring Scores
- Week 1: 4
- Week 2: 7
- Week 3: 10
- Week 4: 13
- Week 5: 20
- Week 6: 27

Phoneme Segmentation Fluency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benchmark Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Progress Monitoring Scores
- Week 1: 11
- Week 2: 10
- Week 3: 17
- Week 4: 26

Nonsense Word Fluency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benchmark Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Progress Monitoring Scores
- Week 1: 7
- Week 2: 11
- Week 3: 18
- Week 4: 19

Name: Smith, John
ID: Sample AM
Grade: Kindergarten
Year: 2004-2005
School: Test School
District: Somewhere, USA

06/13/2005, 1
Nonsense Word Fluency

Correct Letter Sounds

Benchmark Scores:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Progress Monitoring Scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Week 1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 2</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 3</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 4</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Dynamic Indicators of Basic Early Literary Skills
Individual Student Performance Profile

Legend
- Benchmark Assessment
- Score Above Graph Bounds
- Target Goal
- Progress Monitoring Assessment
- Score Above Graph Bounds

PHONEMIC AWARENESS

ALPHABETIC PRINCIPLE

VOCABULARY

FLUENCY AND COMPREHENSION

Oral Reading Fluency

Correct Words Per Minute

First Grade

Second Grade

Third Grade
Edcheckup

- http://www.edcheckup.com
Materials

Reading
- Oral Reading: Screening and progress monitoring passages for Oral Reading
- Maze Reading: Screening and progress monitoring passages for Maze Reading

Beginning Reading
- Letter Sounds: Screening and progress monitoring passages for Letter Sounds
- Isolated Words: Screening and progress monitoring passages for Isolated Words

Writing
- Sentence Copying: Screening and progress monitoring probes for Sentence Copying
- Sentence Dictation: Screening and progress monitoring probes for Sentence Dictation
- Paragraph Dictation: Screening and progress monitoring probes for Paragraph Dictation
- Written Expression: Screening and progress monitoring story starters for Written Expression

Edcheckup materials require the Adobe® Reader®. Click here to go to the Adobe web site and download the free software.
Edcheckup Letter Sounds

Curriculum-Based Measurement:
Letter Sounds - Screening
Student Copy 1

Student Copy

a X e J V l C d L y

r B F P F d g N s w

Curriculum-Based Measurement:
Letter Sounds - Screening
Examiner Copy 1

Examiner copy with numbered lines

a X e J V l C d L y 10

r B F P F d g N s w 20
Curriculum-Based Measures:
Isolated Words - Screening
Student Copy 1

he  an  see  play  have  two

good  make  get  who  three  live

Curriculum-Based Measures:
Isolated Words - Screening
Examiner Copy 1

Examiner copy with numbered lines

he  an  see  play  have  two  6

good  make  get  who  three  live  12
King

King was a very big dog. He was so big that Mom wanted me to stay away from him. He lived two houses down the street from us. He had a great big dog house. There was a six foot high steel fence around his house.

King

King was a very big dog. He was so big that Mom wanted me to stay away from him. He lived two houses down the street from us. He had a great big dog house. There was a six foot high steel fence around his house. When people walked by, King would bark loudly at them.
Edcheckup Maze Reading

Name______________________________ Date________________

King

King was a very big dog. He was so big that Mom (wanted, supper, bat) me to stay away from him. (He, The, Tree) lived two houses down the street (sit, pill, from) us. He had a great big (little, up, dog) house. There was a six foot (stop, high, food) steel fence around his house. When (top, sat, people) walked by, King would bark loudly (tip, I, at) them. These people were glad to (see, pop, bad) the tall fence around his house.

Maze Probe
Student chooses the correct word for the sentence
**Data Entry for Oral Reading:**
Edcheckup Program Automatically Calculates Median

---

**Oral Reading Screening Scores**

for Reading Tutorial

Screening period: **Fall** *(9/22/2004)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student</th>
<th>Passage 1</th>
<th>Passage 2</th>
<th>Passage 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bender, Laura</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade: 4</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level: 4</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collins, Douglas J.</td>
<td>81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade: 4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level: 4</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green, Eric J.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade: 4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level: 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennings, Amber</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade: 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level: 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Link to Electronic Scoring Feature

Welcome **Mary Jones**!
(if this is not you, please [Log Off])

Wednesday, May 25, 2005

## Oral Reading Screening Scores

**Link to Electronic Scoring**

**for Reading Tutorial**

Screening period: **Fall** (9/22/2004)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student</th>
<th>Passage 1</th>
<th>Passage 2</th>
<th>Passage 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bender, Laura</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade: 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level: 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collins, Douglas J.</td>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade: 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level: 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green, Eric J.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade: 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level: 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**The Doughnut Shop**

It smelled wonderful in the shop. There were chocolate covered doughnuts, glazed doughnuts, sugar doughnuts and plain cake doughnuts. Danny could tell he was going to like this job! He loved to eat doughnuts.

Mrs. Haney didn’t waste any time in training Danny on the store’s equipment. It seemed easy enough. There was one machine for mixing the batter, one for pressing the doughnut shapes and one for the icing. All Danny had to do was push some buttons and arrange the doughnuts on trays. No problem, he thought to himself.

Scores

Correct: 87  Incorrect: 4  Total: 91  RE: 0

Scores are automatically calculated and stored
Roster Shows Class Lists and Measures (including Cloze Math)
### Probe 1

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$2 \times 6 = 12$</td>
<td>$45 \div 9 = 5$</td>
<td>$8 + 6 = 14$</td>
<td>$\Box \times 9 = 0$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3 \times \Box = 18$</td>
<td>$16 \div 4 = \Box$</td>
<td>$\Box - 1 = 2$</td>
<td>$64 \div \Box = 8$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0 + 1 = \Box$</td>
<td>$\Box + 5 = 7$</td>
<td>$4 \times \Box = 8$</td>
<td>$6 + 7 = \Box$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Box + 7 = 16$</td>
<td>$4 \times \Box = 36$</td>
<td>$0 \div 6 = \Box$</td>
<td>$\Box - 9 = 5$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$9 \times \Box = 9$</td>
<td>$1 - 0 = \Box$</td>
<td>$\Box + 7 = 14$</td>
<td>$27 \div \Box = 9$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0 \div 8 = \Box$</td>
<td>$\Box - 15 = \Box$</td>
<td>$3 + \Box = 5$</td>
<td>$1 \times 4 = \Box$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Oral Reading Class Scores Report

Select a class:
- Reading Tutorial
- Room 211

Select options:
- Include Student Score Data:
  - In Rank Order (incl. Intervention Levels)
  - In Student Order
- Include Student Scores Graph
- Include Percentiles Graph

Edcheckup reports require the Adobe® Reader®. Click here to go to the Adobe web site and download the free software.
Class Report with Recommendations Regarding Interventions:

- At or above benchmark (blue)
- On track with modest rate (green)
- Intervention recommended (yellow)
- Intervention necessary (red)
Additional Graphs show class performance over Fall/Winter/Spring Screening Periods
Edcheckup

Individual Student Report: Screening and Progress Monitoring Data
Student Report shows progress against a goal line

![Progress Monitoring Scores]

- Target
- Goal 1
- Goal 2

Words Read Correctly (WRC)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>9/1/04</th>
<th>10/1/04</th>
<th>11/1/04</th>
<th>12/1/04</th>
<th>1/1/05</th>
<th>2/1/05</th>
<th>3/1/05</th>
<th>4/1/05</th>
<th>5/1/05</th>
<th>6/1/05</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Score</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- http://www.mhdigitallearning.com
Language Arts: 15-minute weekly standards-based measure of specific skills:
- Text Comprehension (includes narrative, informational, and functional passages)
- Vocabulary
- Spelling
- Word Analysis
- Language Mechanics
- Language Usage and Expression

Reading: 2 1/2-minute weekly maze measure

Mathematics: 15-minute weekly standards-based measure of grade-level specific skills in both computation and problem solving
Student Takes Assessment

Diagnostic Reports Generated

Teacher Adjusts Instruction

Tutorial Lessons Assigned

How Yearly Progress Pro™ Works

McGraw-Hill Digital Learning
Which word has the same sound as the underlined sound below?

coin

A. bone
B. clay
C. ploy
D. kin

Grade: 3rd
Cluster: Word Analysis
Skill: Letter-sound correspondence for vowels

McGraw-Hill Digital Learning
Make a Butterfly

Have you ever seen a butterfly fly in the air? Here's a project you can do with your friends. It takes just six steps to turn a sandwich bag into your own butterfly!

Sandwich-sized, zip close bag
Tissue paper (Use lots of colors!)
6-inch long pipe cleaner
Scissors

1. Cut the tissue paper into 1-inch squares.
2. Fill the sandwich bag with tissue squares.
3. Zip the bag closed.
4. Gather the bag in the middle with the zip close at the top.
5. Twist the pipe cleaner in the middle of the gather to form a butterfly.
6. Curl the ends of the pipe cleaner.

Before you close the sandwich bag, you should_____.

A. fill it with colored tissue squares
B. gather the bag in the middle
C. make a sandwich
D. curl the ends of the pipe cleaner

Grade: 3rd

Cluster: Reading Comprehension

Skill: Literal Details

McGraw-Hill Digital Learning
"Don't give up. Keep digging!" shouted my brothers and sisters. These words were helpful to me. I was getting tired after digging for three straight days. I am a sea turtle. One day, I hatched from my eggshell. I was under lots of sand on a beach. My mother took a lot of care in laying my siblings and me in a nest. This nest is not like what you would find filled with baby birds in a tree. Let me tell you about how I got where I am now.

Even though she lives in the water, my mother came ashore all by herself at night. She must have been scared. She made her way into the sand. She dug a hole and carefully laid her eggs in it. Then she used her back flippers to cover the eggs with sand. She covered the eggs to keep them safe and warm. I will keep digging out of the sand. Then I will wait until nighttime to swim into the water. I hope to find my mother someday. I want to thank her for taking a big risk so I could be born.

What is the purpose of this story?

A. to explain how sea turtles are born and get to the ocean
B. to tell us about a sea turtle that found a treasure on the beach
C. to explain what a turtle looks like
D. to describe how sea turtles love to swim

Grade: 3rd
Cluster: Reading Comprehension
Skill: Main Idea

McGraw-Hill Digital Learning
Choose the answer that has most nearly the same meaning as the underlined word above it.

Drive down the avenue

A. street
B. park
C. dress
D. automobile

Grade: 3rd
Cluster: Reading
Vocabulary
Skill: Synonyms

McGraw-Hill
Digital Learning
Look at the sentence or sentences below. If there is a punctuation mistake, select the letter for the line that contains the error. If there is no mistake, choose the last answer (No mistakes).

A. What color is that It
B. looks like a mixture of
C. purple and pink.
D. (No mistakes)
Sample screen taken from mathematics tutorial lesson (guided practice)

McGraw-Hill Digital Learning
Report by Skill Cluster

3rd Grade Tests
Smithson 3rd Grade Class

Clusters

C1 - Word Analysis
C2 - Spelling
C3 - Language Mechanics
C4 - Language Usage and Expression
C5 - Sources of Information
C6 - Reading Vocabulary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clusters</th>
<th>C1</th>
<th>C2</th>
<th>C3</th>
<th>C4</th>
<th>C5</th>
<th>C6</th>
<th>C7</th>
<th>C8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>John Adams</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betty Brown</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matilda Clarke</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donald Drake</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Etheridge</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jill Farmer</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gordon Greene</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martha Hines</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiffany Ingram</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Class Report by Skill Detail

LANGUAGE ARTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Skills</th>
<th>S1</th>
<th>S2</th>
<th>S3</th>
<th>S4</th>
<th>S5</th>
<th>S6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Literal details</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contextual clues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main idea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fantasy/Reality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading strategy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

John Adams    ● ○ ● – – –
Betty Brown   ● ○ ● – ○ ●
Matilda Clarke ● ● ● ● ○ ●
Donald Drake  ● ● ● ○ ○ ●
Jim Etheridge ● ● ○ ○ ● ●
Jill Farmer   ● ● ○ ○ ● ●
Gordon Greene ○ ● ● ● ○ ●
Martha Hines  ● ● ● ● ● ●
Tiffany Ingrahm ● ● ● ● ● ●
Part 3:
Generally Effective Reading Instruction
General Considerations When Determining Interventions

- Using research-validated instructional procedures: Is there evidence for their effectiveness?
- Oral reading fluency or maze fluency
  - Very low scores: student probably would benefit from instruction in decoding and word identification
  - Somewhat low scores: student probably would benefit from fluency interventions
  - Average scores: student probably would benefit from vocabulary instruction and text comprehension strategies
NRP Findings Focus on Critical Areas of Literacy Instruction

- **Phonemic Awareness**—ability to hear and manipulate individual sounds in oral language
- **Phonics**—understanding and connecting letters of written language with sounds of oral language
- **Fluency**—reading text accurately and quickly
- **Vocabulary**—oral or reading language needed for effective communication
- **Text Comprehension**—purposeful and active strategies for understanding written language

(National Reading Panel, 2000)
Phonemic Awareness

- **Phonological awareness**: The understanding that ORAL language can be broken down into smaller components and the ability to manipulate those components—sentences into words, words into syllables, words into onsets and rimes, and words into individual phonemes—/s/ /u/ /n/ or /s/ /u/ /n/ /sh/ /i/ /n/

- **Phonemic awareness**: the ability to hear, identify, and manipulate individual sounds in spoken words; appears critical for reading and spelling development

- *Put Reading First*—**various dimensions of phonemic awareness**: phoneme isolation, identity, categorization, **blending, segmentation**, deletion, addition, substitution
Critical Dimensions of Phonemic Awareness

- **Blending:** I’ll say the sounds of a word. You guess what the word is. What word is this? /fffuuunnn/ (“fun”)

- **Segmenting:** I’m going to say a word, and then I’ll say each sound in the word. Listen carefully. “man” /m/ /a/ /n/

Now I’ll say a different word and you tell me each sound you hear.
Phoneme Deletion or Substitution

- **Deletion:** I’m going to ask you to say a word and then to say it again without one or more of its sounds. Say “sat.” Now say it again, but don’t say /s/. (“at”)
  - Say “plate” but don’t say /p/. (“late”)
  - Say “plane” but don’t say /n/. (“play”)

- **Substitution:** Say “plane” but change /pl/ to /tr/ (“train”)

- **General progression of difficulty:**
  Beginning sounds, ending sounds, then middle sounds
Systematic and Explicit Phonics instruction significantly improves young children’s decoding, spelling, and reading comprehension and older students’ word reading and oral text reading skills.

- **Systematic:** logical sequence and careful selection of letter-sounds for instruction
- **Explicit:** precise directions for teachers or careful wording to emphasize accurate models for students and to make letter-sound relationships conspicuous
Why Is Phonics Instruction So Challenging for Many Teachers?

- Many teacher preparation programs do not provide training in phonics instruction.
- The English alphabet contains 26 letters but we use roughly 44 phonemes. These sounds are represented by as many as 250 different spellings (e.g., /f/ as in \textit{ph}, \textit{f}, \textit{gh}, \textit{ff}).
- Many core beginning reading programs have not emphasized systematic and explicit phonics instruction.
Phonics Instruction

- Use a functional sequence of letter-sounds, one that leads to rapid success in reading words
- Provide opportunities for practicing decoding skills both in word lists and in connected text
Systematic and Explicit Phonics Instruction

- Introduce most common sound for a new letter (/k/ for “c”)
- Separate instruction of potentially confusing letters due to visual or auditory similarity (h/n, e/i, b/d)
- May introduce lower case letters first (more functional)
- Start with high-utility letters (s, t, m, and vowels, not z, x)
- Select words that start with continuous sounds rather than stop sounds when beginning to sound out words—or for blending and segmenting practice (use “mat” before “bat”)
Repeated and monitored oral reading significantly improves reading fluency and overall reading achievement.

Caution: Silent, independent reading with little guidance or feedback may not be enough to improve fluency and overall reading achievement.
Why Fluency Is Important

- More fluent readers focus their attention on making connections among the ideas in a text and between these ideas and their background knowledge. Therefore, they are able to focus on comprehension.

- Less fluent readers focus their attention primarily on decoding and accessing the meaning of individual words. Therefore, they appear to have little attention left for comprehending connected text.
Fluency Interventions

- Model fluent reading. Have students reread text themselves. Read aloud daily.
- Students should read aloud repeatedly with guidance.
- Use text at independent level (approx. 95% accuracy).
- Use adults, peers, or tape recorders for modeling and practicing one to one (although can do classwide partner reading). Choral reading may engage groups of students.
- Activities from *Put Reading First*:
  - Student-adult reading
  - Choral reading
  - Tape-assisted reading
  - Partner reading
  - Reader’s theater
Repeated Readings as an Instructional Strategy

- Text used for repeated readings may be of varying length—often 100-word passages are used for young elementary children. Student reads text three or four times, trying to decrease the duration for each reading. Or, teacher sets a time limit, such as 1 or 2 min., for student to read as much as possible. Goal is to increase the amount read in each subsequent reading.

- Text should include only words the student can read rapidly and accurately, either through efficient decoding or good sight-word vocabulary.

- Teacher or student may chart progress and reinforce increases in rate.
Many words are learned indirectly through everyday experiences with oral and written language (e.g., conversations, listening to others read, reading independently).

However, some vocabulary must be taught directly through specific word instruction or through word-learning strategies.
Direct vocabulary instruction aids in comprehension. However, a text may have too many unknown words for direct instruction—be selective with vocabulary. Students do not have to know all words in order to understand text.

- Words selected should be important, useful, and difficult.
- Teach specific words prior to reading text (e.g., use a model, synonym, or definition).
- Repeat exposure to vocabulary often and in many different contexts.
- Teach word-learning strategies (e.g., use of dictionaries and other reference tools, contextual clues, word parts).
- An important aspect of teaching vocabulary is selecting a set of appropriate examples.
Examples for Specific Word Instruction

- Model the concept “above.” Use hand or object and place above or not above other objects (demonstrate position).
- Teach meaning for “gigantic” by using the known synonym “large.” Connect to prior knowledge, check with examples and nonexamples, and use in sentences.
- Teach meaning by providing definition: “exit—a door that leads out of the building. Is this (point to front door) an exit or not? How do you know?”

(see Carnine, Silbert, Kame’enui, & Tarver, 2002)
Comprehension is both purposeful and active. Good readers have a purpose for reading, and they think actively about what they are reading as they are doing it (metacognition—monitoring understanding during reading and applying “fix up” strategies, such as adjusting reading speed and rereading; also checking understanding afterward).
Effective Comprehension Strategies

- **Comprehension monitoring**—involves students using a set of steps to recognize when they have difficulties understanding.

- **Graphic and semantic organizers (webs, charts, frames)**—to illustrate relationships among ideas and events.

- **Summarizing**—involves synthesis of important ideas; helps to identify main ideas, eliminate unnecessary information, and remember content.

- **Answering questions and generating own questions**—help students to establish purpose, focus attention, think and monitor actively, review content, and relate content to prior knowledge.

- **Story structure**—knowledge of story parts (e.g., characters, setting, problem, sequence of events, problem resolution) facilitates comprehension.
General Guidelines for Teaching Comprehension

- **Cooperative learning**—students work together to apply comprehension strategies. Effective with clearly defined tasks and content-area reading.

- **Multiple-strategy instruction**—students use different strategies flexibly as needed to assist their comprehension.
Comprehension Strategies Should Be Taught Directly

As with other “big ideas” in reading instruction, comprehension strategies must be taught explicitly

– Provide explanations--why strategy helps and when it should be applied
– Model or demonstrate strategy--think aloud
– Provide guided practice using strategy
– Scaffold assistance during practice opportunities until students become independent in applying strategy
Peer-Assisted Learning Strategies (PALS): A Multiple Strategy Intervention

- Classwide peer tutoring program to supplement classroom literacy instruction for practicing important reading skills and strategies, such as decoding, sight-word recognition, oral reading fluency, summarization, and prediction.
- Validated instructional practices that strengthen general education’s capacity to meet academic needs of increasingly diverse population in classrooms.

(D. Fuchs, Fuchs, & Burish, 2000)
PALS Research

- Based on Juniper Gardens ClassWide Peer Tutoring model
- Has over 10 years of experimental research
- Used in Title 1 and Non-Title 1 Schools
- Implemented in urban and suburban schools
- Includes high, average, and low achievers as well as students with disabilities
Critical Features of PALS

- Supplemental academic practice several times per week (20-45 min. each session, depending on grade level and activities)
- Structured activities
- Reciprocal roles (Coaches and Players)
- Individualized support--corrective feedback
- More time on task with active engagement
- Inclusion of all students with built-in opportunities for success
- Facilitation of positive peer interactions
- Opportunities to monitor student progress
- Practical AND effective strategies
General Considerations When Determining Interventions

- Using research-validated instructional procedures: Is there evidence for their effectiveness?
- Oral reading fluency or maze fluency
  - Very low scores: student likely would benefit from instruction in decoding and word identification
  - Somewhat low scores: student likely would benefit from fluency interventions
  - Average scores: student likely would benefit from vocabulary instruction and text comprehension strategies
Case Study: Jonah’s Progress Monitoring Graph
Jonah

- 2nd grader makes many errors during oral reading fluency assessments
- Word correct scores are lower than classmates’: 30, 35, 28, 32, 40, 35, and 31
- Daily teacher-directed, whole-class instruction that includes some independent work; also two days per week has two reading groups focused on skills-based activities; three days per week has whole-class writing activities
- Score of 31 on last measure (seen on next slide) and Quick Miscue Analysis to illustrate types of miscues made on first 10
- What might you ask Jonah’s teacher about structuring class time and activities for language arts? What type of intervention(s) might benefit Jonah?
Larry was very excited! His father had just brought home a new puppy. Larry’s brother and sister were going to be very surprised, too.

The little puppy was black and brown with a few white patches. Her ears were long and floppy. Her tummy nearly touched the ground. Dad said this dog was a beagle.

Larry thought their new dog was cute. He couldn’t decide what he wanted to name him.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word Written</th>
<th>Word Spoken</th>
<th>Graphophonemic</th>
<th>Syntax</th>
<th>Semantics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>was</td>
<td>saw</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>very</td>
<td>him</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>excited</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>just</td>
<td>our</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>brought</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>minimal</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>brother</td>
<td>mother</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>were</td>
<td>was</td>
<td>minimal</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>very</td>
<td>much</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>surprised</td>
<td>sorpray</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>puppy</td>
<td>pup</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Quick Miscue Analysis 30% 50% 30%
Part 4: Generally Effective Mathematics Instruction
Explicit Teaching Cycle

- Planning
- Advanced Organizer
- Demonstration
- Guided Practice
- Independent Practice
- Maintenance
- Curriculum-Based Measurement
- Explicit Teaching Cycle
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Explicit T
Types of Progress Monitoring for Mathematics

– Robust indicator (e.g., using basic facts to monitor overall math proficiency across elementary grades)

– Curriculum sampling (e.g., important skills in year-long curriculum are represented on each measure)
Plan for Instruction

- Information gathered from progress monitoring assessments is used for instructional planning

- Key principles:
  - Data-based decision making
    - Overall lesson plan decisions are based on data collected from CBM. (However, additional informal assessments may be necessary for conducting error analysis or for guiding individual lesson planning.)
  - Instructional alignment
    - Appropriate match exists between student and task variables.
Provide an Advanced Organizer

- An advanced organizer is material introduced prior to a new lesson that links specific, new information to what is already known.

- They are designed to bridge that gap between the student’s prior knowledge and what is to be learned and prepares the student for the lesson by focusing attention, providing motivation, and ensuring that prerequisite skills are firm.
Advanced Organizers in Math

- Review of the prerequisite knowledge
- Statement of the lesson objective with link
- Development of relevance

The teacher begins the advanced organizer with a review of prerequisite knowledge or skills. When success rate is high, the teacher prepares the students for the new lesson by stating the objective and showing the link between the new material and students’ prior knowledge (usually the review material). Finally, the teacher develops relevance by helping students to see or experience the reason for learning the new material.
The review component of the advanced organizer is extremely important and allows the teacher to check students’ knowledge and prepares students for success in the new lesson.

- If students have not mastered prerequisites, the teacher reteaches the knowledge, concept, or skill and does not move on to the new lesson until students are fluent with the prerequisites.
- Review is NOT a time for student practice after knowledge, concepts, or skills have been taught.
The question guiding the identification of the prerequisites is: “What concepts, knowledge, or skills do students need to be successful in this lesson?”

Once prerequisites are identified, example problems are selected.

The type of review activity is dictated by the instructional domain (concept, declarative knowledge, procedural strategy, problem solving, etc.).
The teacher sets the tone for student success by providing clear directions that are brief, sequenced, and include visual and verbal cues.

The review follows a three-step sequence to monitor student performance:
- Check student performance
- Provide feedback
- Make a data-based decision to move on to the new lesson, or reteach and provide more practice with the review problems (a general guideline for moving on is that 80% of the students get 80% of the review material correct)
Techniques for Maximizing Student Participation

- Students tell answer or repeat procedure to a neighbor
- Student use “yes” and “no” response cards to agree or disagree with an answer given, or raise finger if they agree
- Students write answer on whiteboard and hold it up for teacher to check
- Students come up to board or overhead transparency to show how to do all or part of a procedure
- Student give thumbs-up or wink if they know the answer
- After students complete several problems at their desks, each student puts one problem on the board and explains how the problem was solved
- Students raise different answer cards when practicing concept discrimination of fact identification (e.g., coins, shapes, numbers, etc.)
Effective teachers begin the lesson by stating what students will learn in the lesson and how this links to what is already known (prior knowledge).

- Develop relevance by helping students see why they are learning a new mathematical concept or skill.
Providing a Demonstration

3 Ms of Demonstration

- **Model** thinking and action
- **Maximize** student engagement
- **Monitor** student understanding
In the demonstration phase, the teacher models what students must do to complete the problem while *thinking aloud* to show thought processes.

The model includes *showing* how to solve the problem *while describing* the overt actions (e.g., “Now, I carry the tens”) and the cognitive decisions that occur in solving the problem.

Modeling is facilitated by using concise, well-organized explanations using language and visual support that the students will understand.
Maximize Student Engagement

- Attention can be maintained by providing opportunities for students to be actively involved in the demonstration

- Techniques to include the students verbally include:
  - Having students read the problems or parts of the problem with the teacher
  - Directing students to repeat the new information that the teacher has just stated
  - Asking students to provide information for the problem that they already know
Again, follow the three-step monitoring sequence:
- Check for student understanding
- Provide feedback
- Make a data-based decision to determine whether students understand the problems being modeled
Provide Guided Practice

- The focus of guided practice is to provide students with the opportunity to practice the new mathematics task until they are able to complete the task correctly or without teacher assistance.

- The teacher provides assistance with strategic use of verbal questions and prompts (designed to prompt student recall).

- Guided practice should be briskly paced with a high frequency of questions and prompts.

- Teacher varies level of support and gradually withdraws assistance, shifting more and more responsibility to the students until they are able to complete the problems independently (sometimes called scaffolding).
Provide Independent Practice

- Independent practice begins when students have demonstrated accuracy and the ability to complete several problems independently without teacher support.
Important Functions of Independent Practice

- It gives students opportunities to practice new concepts, knowledge, and skills acquired during demonstration and guided practice.
- It gives students opportunities to become fluent with the newly learned material.
- It provides the teacher with a means to evaluate the effectiveness of instruction.
- It helps students retain what they have learned.
Considerations When Providing Independent Practice

- Plan a Practice Format
  - Type of response required from student (written, verbal, physical action)
  - The nature of the task (e.g., counting objects, reading word problems, calculate using a procedural strategy)
  - The amount of time required to provide a response

- Provide Distributed Practice
  - Practice opportunities are spread out over periods of time until mastery is reached

- Monitor Student Performance
Provide Maintenance

- Refers to the student’s ability to respond accurately to mathematical problems without teacher assistance.
- Maintenance needs to be built in so that skills are retained.
- Should consist of those skills that students have mastered previously and are not being practiced in current lessons.
Monitor Student Progress Over Time

- The assessment and instructional cycle continues
  - Daily lesson assessment helps to guide planning for the next day’s lesson
  - Progress monitoring measures (such as CBM) guide decision making for overall instructional planning
    - When particular students are not progressing satisfactorily
    - When instruction needs to be altered
    - When goals should be raised
Use Progress Monitoring for Data-Based Decision Instructional Decision Making